

Analytic loops and gauge fields ¹

E.K. Loginov²

*Physics Department, Ivanovo State University
Ermaka St. 39, Ivanovo, 153025, Russia*

Abstract

In this paper the linear representations of analytic Moufang loops are investigated. We prove that every representation of semisimple analytic Moufang loop is completely reducible and find all nonassociative irreducible representations. We show that such representations are closely associated with the (anti-)self-dual Yang-Mills equations in $\mathbf{R}^8$.

1 Introduction

The pure Yang-Mills theory defined in the four-dimensional Euclidean space has a rich and interesting structure even at the classical level. The discovery of regular solutions to the Yang-Mills field equations, which correspond to absolute minimum of the action (Belavin et al.) [1], has led to an intensive study of such a classical theory. One hopes that a deep understanding of the classical theory will be invaluable when one tries to quantize such a theory.

In the past few years, increased attention has been paid to gauge field equations in space-time of dimension greater than four, with a view to obtaining physically interesting theories via dimensional reduction [2]. A telling illustration of this is the geometrical Higgs mechanism due to Englert and others [3]. At the same time, Corrigan et al. [4] have obtained an analog of (anti-)self-dual Yang-Mills equations in $\mathbf{R}^8$. Later there were found its several solutions [5,6] which were then used to construct string and membrane solitons [6,7]. In recent papers [8], the 8D equations have been applied to construct a topological Yang-Mills theory on Joyce manifolds as an 8D counterpart of the Donaldson-Witten theory [9]. It is also recently discussed that self-dual Yang-Mills gauge fields depending only upon time play a role in the context of M-theory [10].

¹Nucl. Phys. B606 (2001) 636.

²e-mail: loginov@ivanovo.ac.ru

From the viewpoint of mathematical physics, the above works has made most conspicuous the possibly central role played by octonions [11] and their attending Lie groups [12]. The algebra of octonions (Cayley numbers) is the most known example of nonassociative alternative algebras. The alternative algebras are closely associated with the Malcev algebras and analytic Moufang loops. These algebraic structures are actively investigated and applied in physics [13]. Our work is a step in this direction.

The paper is organized as follows. Section 2 contains well known facts of the structural theory of alternate algebras, Malcev algebras, and analytic Moufang loops which we use. Sections 3 and 4 present our main results. In Section 3 the theorem on connection of analytic Moufang loop representations and its tangent Malcev algebra representations is proved. The complete reducibility of semisimple analytic Moufang loop representations is proved and all irreducible nonassociative representations of analytic Moufang loops are found. In Section 4 we show that such representations are closely associated with the (anti-)self-dual Yang-Mills equations in $\mathbf{R}^8$ and find solutions of the latter.

2 Analytic Moufang loops

A loop is a binary system G with an unity element 1, in which the equations $ax = b$ and $ya = b$ are uniquely solvable for all $a, b \in G$. Moufang loops are distinguished from the class of all loops by the identities

$$ab \cdot ca = a(bc \cdot a), \quad (cb \cdot c)a = c(b \cdot ca), \quad a(b \cdot cb) = (ab \cdot c)b \quad (1)$$

which are called the central, left, and right Moufang identity accordingly. It is well known [14], that two of them are a corollary of third. This paper is concerned with analytic Moufang loops; that is, analytic manifolds equipped with the Moufang loop structure, in which the binary operations are analytic.

Moufang loops are closely associated with the alternative algebras which are defined by the identities

$$x^2y = x(xy), \quad yx^2 = (yx)x. \quad (2)$$

It is evident from the definition that any associative algebra is alternative. We shall now a construction of the most important alternative algebras which are not associative, namely, the Cayley-Dickson algebras[15,16].

Let A be an algebra with an involution $x \rightarrow \bar{x}$ over a field F (here and below we consider finite-dimensional algebras of characteristic 0 with identity element). Given a nonzero $\alpha \in F$ we define a multiplication on the vector space $(A, \alpha) = A \oplus A$ by

$$(x_1, y_1)(x_2, y_2) = (x_1x_2 - \alpha\bar{y}_2y_1, y_2x_1 + y_1\bar{x}_2). \quad (3)$$

This makes (A, α) an algebra over F . It is clear that A is isomorphically embedded into (A, α) and $\dim(A, \alpha) = 2\dim A$. Let $e = (0, 1)$. Then $e^2 = -\alpha$ and $(A, \alpha) = A \oplus Ae$. Given any $z = x + ye$ in (A, α) we suppose $\bar{z} = \bar{x} - ye$. Then the mapping $z \rightarrow \bar{z}$ is an involution in (A, α) .

Starting with the base field F the Cayley-Dickson construction leads to the following tower of alternative algebras:

1. F , the base field.
2. $\mathbf{C}(\alpha) = (F, \alpha)$, a field if $x^2 + \alpha$ is the irreducible polynomial over F ; otherwise, $\mathbf{C}(\alpha) \simeq F \oplus F$.
3. $\mathbf{H}(\alpha, \beta) = (\mathbf{C}(\alpha), \beta)$, a generalized quaternion algebra. This algebra is associative but not commutative.
4. $\mathbf{O}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) = (\mathbf{H}(\alpha, \beta), \gamma)$, a Cayley-Dickson algebra. Since this algebra is not associative the Cayley-Dickson construction ends here.

The algebras in 1 — 4 are called composition. Any of them has the non-degenerate quadratic form (norm) $n(x) = x\bar{x}$, such that $n(xy) = n(x)n(y)$. In particular, over the field $\mathbf{R}$ of real numbers, the above construction gives 3 split algebras (if $\alpha = \beta = \gamma = -1$) and 4 division algebras (if $\alpha = \beta = \gamma = 1$): the fields of real $\mathbf{R}$ and complex $\mathbf{C}$ numbers, the algebras of quaternions $\mathbf{H}$ and octonions $\mathbf{O}$, taken with the Euclidean norm $n(x) = |x|$. Note also that any simple nonassociative alternative algebra is isomorphic to the Cayley-Dickson algebra $\mathbf{O}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$ [16].

The set of all regular elements of alternative algebra A over $\mathbf{R}$ is an analytic Moufang loop. Its tangent algebra is isomorphic to the commutator algebra $A^{(-)}$ of A . If A is nonassociative algebra, then $A^{(-)}$ is not a Lie algebra. Instead of the Jacobi identity, $A^{(-)}$ satisfies the Malcev identity

$$J(x, y, xz) = J(x, y, z)x, \quad (4)$$

where $J(x, y, z) \equiv (xy)z + (yz)x + (zx)y$ is the Jacobian of x, y, z , and the Sagle identity

$$(xy \cdot z)t + (yz \cdot t)x + (zt \cdot x)y + (tx \cdot y)z = (xz)(yt). \quad (5)$$

The identities (2.4) and (2.5) are equivalent [17]. An anticommutative algebra whose multiplication satisfies these identities is called a Malcev algebra.

Malcev algebras and alternative algebras are closely associated. Any simple non-Lie Malcev algebra is isomorphic to the commutator algebra of Cayley-Dickson algebra [18,19]. In particular, there exists a unique simple compact not-Lie Malcev algebra over $\mathbf{R}$. It is isomorphic to the seven-dimensional algebra $\mathbf{O}^{(-)}$. Any semisimple Malcev algebra A is decomposed in the direct sum $A = N(A) \oplus J(A)$ of Lie center $N(A)$ and ideal $J(A)$. Besides, $N(A)$ is a semisimple Lie algebra and $J(A)$ is a direct sum of simple non-Lie Malcev algebras [19,20].

There exists a correspondence between local analytic Moufang loops and real Malcev algebras [21]. It generalizes the classical correspondence between local Lie groups and Lie algebras. The correspondence is completely transferred for (global) analytic Moufang loops [22]. Namely, there exists an unique simply connected analytical Moufang loop G with the given tangent Malcev algebra, and any connected analytic Moufang loop with the same tangent algebra is isomorphic to the quotient algebra G/H where H is a discrete central normal subgroup in G . Any simply connected semisimple analytic Moufang loop is decomposed in a direct product of semisimple Lie group and simple nonassociative Moufang loops each of which is analytically isomorphic to one of the spaces $\mathbf{S}^7$, $\mathbf{S}^3 \times \mathbf{R}^4$, or $\mathbf{S}^7 \times \mathbf{R}^7$. Actually, any simply connected simple nonassociative Moufang loop is isomorphic to the loop of elements of norm 1 in the Cayley-Dickson algebra over $\mathbf{R}$ or $\mathbf{C}$.

3 Bimodules and birepresentations

We recall the concepts of bimodule and birepresentation of linear algebra [23]. Let $\mathcal{K}$ be a class of linear algebras over a field F . Suppose we have a pair of linear mappings $(\rho, \lambda) : A \rightarrow \text{End}M$ of $A \in \mathcal{K}$ into a vector space M over F . Then we can define a multiplication on the vector space direct sum $A \oplus M$ by

$$(a, x)(b, y) = (ab, x\rho_b + y\lambda_a). \quad (6)$$

It is clear that this operation is bilinear, so $A \oplus M$ is an algebra. Moreover, A is a subalgebra and M is an ideal in $A \oplus M$ such that $M^2 = 0$. The algebra $A \oplus M$ is called the split null extension of A . If $A \oplus M \in \mathcal{K}$, then

M is called a bimodule for A (or A -module) and the pair of mappings (ρ, λ) a birepresentation of A in the class $\mathcal{K}$.

It is comfortable to use the designations xa and ax instead of $x\rho_a$ and $x\lambda_a$. Clearly, we can consider the algebra A as A -bimodule if xa and ax are the multiplication in A . Such bimodules and corresponding birepresentation $a \rightarrow R_a, a \rightarrow L_a$ are called regular.

A bimodule M for an alternate algebra A is alternative A -module if and only if

$$(a, b, x) = -(x, b, a) = -(a, x, b) = (b, x, a) \quad (7)$$

where $a, b \in A, x \in M$ and $(a, b, x) = ab \cdot x - a \cdot bx$. Examples of alternative bimodules are the regular bimodule for an alternative algebra and the Cayley-Dickson bimodule for an associative composition algebra. The latter is the A -submodule Ae of the composition algebra $(A, \alpha) = A \oplus Ae$ where ρ and λ are induced by the left and right multiplications of A on (A, α) . There is a second way of representing the Cayley-Dickson bimodule: to taking $M = A$, ρ_a and λ_a the right multiplications in A by $\bar{a}$ and a respectively.

It is known [24], that every alternative bimodule for a semisimple alternative algebra is completely reducible. The irreducible bimodule for an alternative algebra is either associative or a submodule of the regular bimodule for the Cayley-Dickson algebra or a submodule of the Cayley-Dickson bimodule for the generalized quaternions algebra.

By (2.5) the linear mapping $\tau : A \rightarrow \text{End} M$ is called a (right) representation of Malcev algebra A if

$$\tau_{xy \cdot z} = \tau_x \tau_y \tau_z - \tau_z \tau_x \tau_y + \tau_y \tau_{zx} - \tau_{yz} \tau_x. \quad (8)$$

for all $x, y, z \in A$. In this case M is called a Malcev A -module. Since the algebra A is anticommutative, the concepts of Malcev A -module and bimodule are equivalent. An special case of the Malcev representation is the regular representation $x \rightarrow R_x$.

It is known [19,25], that every representation of semisimple Malcev algebra is completely reducible. The irreducible bimodule is either Lie or the regular bimodule for a simple nonassociative Malcev algebra or $sl(2, F)$ -module of dimension 2 such that $\tau(a) = \bar{a}$ where $\bar{a}$ is the adjoint matrix to the matrix $a \in sl(2, F)$. Since every semisimple Malcev algebra A can be embedded in the commutator algebra of appropriate semisimple alternative

algebra, the representation τ of A is connected to the representation (ρ, λ) of the alternative algebra by the equality $\tau = \rho - \lambda$.

The concepts of bimodule and birepresentation of linear algebra can be extend to Moufang loops. Let M be a linear space over a field F and (ρ_a, λ_a) invertible linear transformations of M where $a \in G$. Define the multiplication (3.1) on the set $G \times M$. If the groupoid $G \times M$ is a Moufang loop, then we say that the vector space M is G -module and the pair of mappings $(\rho, \lambda) : G \rightarrow \text{Aut} M$ a linear representation of G . If G is an analytical Moufang loop, we additionally require $G \times M$ to be also analytic loop.

Suppose $F[G]$ is the formal linear envelope of the Moufang loop G and M is G -module. Extend the representation $(\rho, \lambda) : G \rightarrow \text{Aut} M$ from G to $F[G]$ by F -linearity. We'll have the representation $(\tilde{\rho}, \tilde{\lambda}) : F[G] \rightarrow \text{End} M$ of $F[G]$. The sets

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Ker}(\rho, \lambda) &= \{a \in G \mid \rho_a = \lambda_a = \text{id}\}, \\ \text{Ker}(\tilde{\rho}, \tilde{\lambda}) &= \{a \in F[G] \mid \tilde{\rho}_a = \tilde{\lambda}_a = 0\} \end{aligned}$$

is called kernels of the representation (ρ, λ) and $(\tilde{\rho}, \tilde{\lambda})$ accordingly. The representation (ρ, λ) is called faithful if its kernel coincides with the unity element of G . In the previous paper [26], we proved the following

Proposition 1. *The mappings $(\rho, \lambda) : G \rightarrow \text{Aut} M$ are a linear representation of the Moufang loop G if and only if:*

- (i) *The associator (a, b, x) is skew-symmetric.*
- (ii) *$x(b \cdot ab) = (xb \cdot a)b$ and $(ab \cdot a)x = a(b \cdot ax)$*

for all $a, b \in F[G]$ and $x \in M$.

Proposition 2. *The following statements are true:*

- (i) *$\text{Ker}(\rho, \lambda)$ is a normal subloop of G .*
- (ii) *$\text{Ker}(\tilde{\rho}, \tilde{\lambda})$ is an ideal in $F[G]$.*
- (iii) *The quotient algebra $F[G]/\text{Ker}(\tilde{\rho}, \tilde{\lambda})$ is alternative.*

Proposition 3. *Every irreducible G -module of Moufang loop G is a submodule of an irreducible alternative bimodule.*

Now let G be a subloop of the loop of invertible elements of an alternative algebra A . Mark out the subalgebra B in A generated by elements of G . The operators R_a and L_a of the right and left multiplications by the element $a \in G$ are invertible, satisfy Proposition 1, and coincide with the identity operator

only if $a = e$ where e is unity element of G . Therefore the pair of mappings $(R, L) : G \rightarrow \text{Aut} B$ is a faithful representation of G .

Conversely, let the Moufang loop G has the faithful representation $(\rho, \lambda) : G \rightarrow \text{Aut} M$. Extend it from G to $F[G]$ by F -linearity. The homomorphism $\tilde{\varphi} : F[G] \rightarrow F[G]/\text{Ker}(\tilde{\rho}, \tilde{\lambda})$ of algebras induces the homomorphism $\varphi : G \rightarrow G/H$ of loops. We'll prove that the subloop H coincides with the kernel $\text{Ker}(\rho, \lambda)$ of the representations (ρ, λ) .

Let $g \in \text{Ker}(\rho, \lambda)$. Then $e - g \in \text{Ker}(\tilde{\rho}, \tilde{\lambda})$ and $\varphi(e) - \varphi(g) = \varphi(e - g) = 0$. Therefore $g \in H$ and $\text{Ker}(\rho, \lambda) \subseteq H$. Let $g \in H$. Then $\varphi(e - g) = \varphi(e) - \varphi(g) = 0$ end $e - g \in \text{Ker}(\tilde{\rho}, \tilde{\lambda})$. Therefore $g \in \text{Ker}(\rho, \lambda)$ and $H \subseteq \text{Ker}(\rho, \lambda)$. Thus, $H = \text{Ker}(\rho, \lambda)$.

Since the representation (ρ, λ) is faithful, the loop G is isomorphically embedded into the loop of invertible elements of $F[G]/\text{Ker}(\tilde{\rho}, \tilde{\lambda})$. Using Proposition 2, we get

Proposition 4. *The Moufang loop G has a faithful representation if and only if it is isomorphically embedded into a loop of invertible elements of alternative algebra.*

Now let G be a simply connected analytical Moufang loop. In the neighborhood U of the unity element the multiplication operation in G is expressed through the addition and multiplication operations in the tangent Malcev algebra A_G by the Campbell-Hausdorff series

$$xy = x + y + \frac{1}{2}[xy] + \frac{1}{12}[[xy]y] + \frac{1}{12}[x[xy]] + \dots \quad (9)$$

Define the mappings $(\rho, \lambda) : U \rightarrow \text{Aut} A_G$ by

$$\rho_x = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{B_n}{n!} (\tau_x)^n, \quad \lambda_x = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{B_n}{n!} (-\tau_x)^n \quad (10)$$

where B_n are the Bernoulli numbers and $y\tau_x = [yx]$. Obviously, the operators ρ_x and λ_x are analytic, invertible, and satisfy the conditions of Proposition 1. Therefore the pair of mappings (ρ, λ) is a representation of the local loop U . It is clear, that this representation is faithful.

Further, let $G \times A_G$ be a simply connected analytic Moufang loop which is locally isomorphic to the local loop $U \times A_G$. Obviously, G is a subloop of $G \times A_G$. It follows from the left and right Moufang identities (2.1) that the

relations

$$\rho_{g_i g_j} = \lambda_{g_i} \rho_{g_i} \rho_{g_j} \lambda_{g_i}^{-1}, \quad \lambda_{g_j g_i} = \rho_{g_i} \lambda_{g_i} \lambda_{g_j} \rho_{g_i}^{-1}. \quad (11)$$

are valid for all $g_i, g_j \in U$. Since the connected loop is algebraic generated by elements of any neighborhood of the unity element, we see that the multiplication in $G \times A_G$ can be represented as (3.1) where the elements a, b are in G and the operators ρ_b, λ_a are generated by the operators $\rho_{g_i}, \lambda_{g_i}$. Thus, we have the representation $(\rho, \lambda) : G \rightarrow \text{Aut} A_G$.

Further, it follows from Proposition 2 and faithfulness of representation of U that the kernel $H = \text{Ker}(\rho, \lambda)$ is a discrete normal subloop of G . It follows from connectedness of G that H is in the center of G . Therefore there exists the representation $G \rightarrow \text{Aut} F[H]$ regular on H and identical on $G \setminus H$ (i.e. $a\rho_b = b\lambda_a = ab$ if $a, b \in H$ and $\rho_a = \lambda_a = \text{id}$ if $a, b \notin H$). Obviously, the induced representation $G \rightarrow \text{Aut}(A_G \otimes F[H])$ is faithful. Using Proposition 4, we get

Theorem 1. *Every simply connected analytic Moufang loop is isomorphically embedded into a loop of invertible elements of alternative algebra over the field of real numbers.*

Now let G be an analytic Moufang loop locally isomorphic to the simply connected analytic Moufang loop G' and suppose that the tangent algebra A_G has the representation $\tau : A_G \rightarrow M$. It means that the split null extension $A_G \oplus M$ of A_G is a Malcev algebra. Expressing the multiplication operation in the local Moufang loop $U \times M$ through the addition and multiplication operations in the tangent algebra $A_G \oplus M$, we'll have the representation $U \rightarrow \text{Aut} M$ as was shown above. Continuing this representation from U to G' , we'll receive the representation $G' \rightarrow \text{Aut} M$.

Conversely, let the analytical Moufang loop G has the representation $G \rightarrow \text{Aut} M$. This representation induces the representation $(\rho, \lambda) : G' \rightarrow \text{Aut} M$. We embed G' into the loop A^* of invertible elements of alternative algebra A . The enclosure $G' \rightarrow A^*$ induces the enclosure $\sigma : A_G \rightarrow A^{(-)}$. Suppose $\tau = \rho - \lambda$; then the mappings composition $\sigma \circ \tau : A_G \rightarrow \text{End} M$ is a representation of A_G . Thus, we have the following

Theorem 2. *Every G -module of the analytic Moufang loop G is A_G -module of its tangent algebra. Conversely, every A_G -module of the Malcev algebra A_G over the field of real numbers is G' -module of simply connected analytic Moufang loop G' locally isomorphic to G .*

Using Theorem 2 and Proposition 3, we get

Theorem 3. *Every representation of semisimple analytic Moufang loop is completely reducible. Every irreducible G -module of analytic Moufang loop G is either alternative or Malcev irreducible bimodule.*

4 Self-dual gauge fields in $\mathbb{R}^8$

Now we shall study the irreducible nonassociative representations of analytic Moufang loops. It follows from Theorem 3 that it is enough to investigate the regular representation of complex and real Cayley-Dickson algebras and their commutator Malcev algebras.

Suppose A is a complex (real) Cayley-Dickson algebra, M is its commutator Malcev algebra, and $\mathcal{L}(A)$ is the enveloping Lie algebra of regular representation of A . It is obvious that the algebra $\mathcal{L}(A)$ is generated by the operators R_x and L_x , where $x \in A$. We select in $\mathcal{L}(A)$ the subspaces $R(A)$, $L(A)$, $S(A)$, $P(A)$ and $D(A)$ generated by the operators R_x , L_x , $S_x = R_x + 2L_x$, $P_x = L_x + 2R_x$ and $D_{x,y} = [T_x, T_y] + T_{[x,y]}$, where $T_x = R_x - L_x$, accordingly. Equations (3.2) imply that

$$[R_x, S_y] = R_{[x,y]}, \quad (12)$$

$$[L_x, P_y] = L_{[y,x]}. \quad (13)$$

It follows from the identities (4.1) and (4.2) that the algebra $\mathcal{L}(A)$ is decomposed into the direct sums

$$\mathcal{L}(A) = D(A) \oplus S(A) \oplus R(A), \quad (14)$$

$$\mathcal{L}(A) = D(A) \oplus P(A) \oplus L(A), \quad (15)$$

of the Lie subalgebras $D(A) \oplus S(A)$, $D(A) \oplus P(A)$ and the vector spaces $R(A)$, $L(A)$ (See [15]). In addition, the map $x \rightarrow S_x$ from M into $S(A)$ is a linear representation of the algebra M , which transforms the space $R(A)$ into M -module that is isomorphic, by (4.1), to the regular Malcev M -module. We prove the following

Proposition 5. *The direct summands in (4.3) and (4.4) are orthogonal with respect to the scalar product $\text{tr}\{XY\}$ on $\mathcal{L}(A)$.*

Proof. Let A be the complex Cayley-Dickson algebra. Then A supposes the base $1, e_1, \dots, e_7$ such that

$$e_i e_j = -\delta_{ij} + c_{ijk} e_k,$$

where the structural constants c_{ijk} are completely antisymmetric and different from 0 only if

$$c_{123} = c_{145} = c_{167} = c_{246} = c_{257} = c_{374} = c_{365} = 1.$$

It is easy to see that in such base the operators

$$\begin{aligned} R_{e_i} &= e_{[i0]} - \frac{1}{2} c_{ijk} e_{[jk]}, \\ L_{e_i} &= e_{[i0]} + \frac{1}{2} c_{ijk} e_{[jk]}, \end{aligned}$$

where $e_{[\mu\nu]}$ are skew-symmetric matrixes 8×8 with the elements $(e_{\mu\nu})_{\alpha\beta} = \delta_{\mu\alpha}\delta_{\nu\beta} - \delta_{\mu\beta}\delta_{\nu\alpha}$. Using the identity

$$c_{ijk} c_{mnk} = \delta_{im}\delta_{jn} - \delta_{in}\delta_{jm} + c_{ijmn},$$

where the completely antisymmetric tensor c_{ijkl} is defined by the equality

$$(e_i, e_j, e_k) = 2c_{ijkl} e_l,$$

we have

$$D_{e_i, e_j} = 8e_{[ij]} + 2c_{ijmn} e_{[mn]}.$$

Finally, using the identities

$$c_{mjk} c_{njk} = 6\delta_{mn},$$

$$c_{ijmn} c_{kmn} = 4c_{ijk},$$

we obtain

$$tr\{R_{e_i} S_{e_j}\} = tr\{R_{e_i} D_{e_j, e_k}\} = 0, \quad (16)$$

$$tr\{L_{e_i} P_{e_j}\} = tr\{L_{e_i} D_{e_j, e_k}\} = 0. \quad (17)$$

As these equalities are invariant with respect to choice of the base in A , equalities (4.5) and (4.6) are valid for real forms of the Lie algebra $\mathcal{L}(A)$. This completes the proof of Proposition 5.

Let A be the real Cayley-Dickson algebra with division (octonion algebra). Its enveloping Lie algebra $\mathcal{L}(A)$ (in fixed base) consists of real skew-symmetric 8×8 matrixes. Therefore we can connect every element $F = F_{\mu\nu}e_{[\mu\nu]}$ of $\mathcal{L}(A)$ with the 2-form $F = F_{\mu\nu}dx^\mu \wedge dx^\nu$. It follow from (4.5) and (4.6) that the factors of F are such that

$$\varepsilon F_{0i} + \frac{1}{2}c_{ijk}F_{jk} = 0, \quad \text{if} \quad \begin{cases} F \in S(A) \oplus D(A) \\ F \in P(A) \oplus D(A) \end{cases}, \quad (18)$$

$$\varepsilon F_{0i} = c_{ijk}F_{jk}, \quad \text{if} \quad \begin{cases} F \in R(A) \\ F \in L(A) \end{cases}, \quad (19)$$

where there is no summing over j, k in (4.8), $c_{ijk} \neq 0$, and

$\varepsilon = 0$, if $F \in D(A)$,

$\varepsilon = 1$, if $F \in S(A) \oplus D(A)$, $F \notin D(A)$ or $F \in R(A)$,

$\varepsilon = -1$, if $F \in P(A) \oplus D(A)$, $F \notin D(A)$ or $F \in L(A)$.

For $\varepsilon = -1$ these are precisely the (anty-self-dual) equations of Corrigan et al. [4] It follows from Proposition 5 that the expressions (4.7) and (4.8) are not depend with respect to choice of base in A . In addition, $R(A)$ and $L(A)$ are not Lie algebras. Therefore the equations (4.8), in contrast to (4.7), are not Yang-Mills equations.

Nevertheless, there are a solution of the equations (4.8), which generalizes the known (anty-)instanton solution of Belavin et al. [1] We find this solution. For this purpose we consider $\mathcal{L}(A)$ -valued 1-forms

$$\begin{aligned} A_{\lambda,b}(x) &= \frac{1}{2} \frac{R_{x-b}R_{\partial_\nu \bar{x}} - R_{\partial_\nu x}R_{\bar{x}-\bar{b}}}{\lambda^2 + |x-b|^2} dx^\nu, \\ B_{\lambda,b}(x) &= \frac{1}{2} \frac{L_{x-b}L_{\partial_\nu \bar{x}} - L_{\partial_\nu x}L_{\bar{x}-\bar{b}}}{\lambda^2 + |x-b|^2} dx^\nu, \end{aligned}$$

where $x, b \in A$ and λ is a positive number. The following proposition is true.

Proposition 6. *There exists a smooth pair of mappings $(f, g) : A \rightarrow \mathbf{S}^7$, determined near ∞ , such that $A_{\lambda,b}(x) \sim f^{-1}(x)df(x)$ and $B_{\lambda,b}(x) \sim g^{-1}(x)dg(x)$ as $|x| \rightarrow \infty$ for any λ and b . The difference $F_{\lambda,b} - G_{\lambda,b}$ of corresponding 2-form $F_{\lambda,b} = dA_{\lambda,b} + A_{\lambda,b} \wedge A_{\lambda,b}$ and $G_{\lambda,b} = dB_{\lambda,b} + B_{\lambda,b} \wedge B_{\lambda,b}$ is a sum of self-dual and anty-self-dual 2-forms.*

Proof. Using the identities

$$2\partial_\nu \frac{x}{|x|} = \left(\frac{\partial_\nu \bar{x}}{|x|} - \frac{\bar{x}(\partial_\nu x)\bar{x}}{|x|^3} \right) + \left(\frac{\partial_\nu \bar{x}}{|x|^3} + \frac{\bar{x}(\partial_\nu x)\bar{x}}{|x|^5} \right),$$

$$\begin{aligned} R_x R_{\bar{x}} &= |x|^2 id, & R_x R_{\partial_\nu \bar{x}} R_x &= R_{x(\partial_\nu \bar{x})x}, \\ L_x L_{\bar{x}} &= |x|^2 id, & L_x L_{\partial_\nu \bar{x}} L_x &= L_{x(\partial_\nu \bar{x})x}, \end{aligned}$$

we get

$$\begin{aligned} A_{\lambda,b}(x) &\sim f^{-1}(x) df(x), \\ B_{\lambda,b}(x) &\sim g^{-1}(x) dg(x), \end{aligned}$$

where $f(x) = R_{\bar{x}}|x|^{-1}$, $g(x) = L_{\bar{x}}|x|^{-1}$, and

$$\begin{aligned} F_{\lambda,b} &= \lambda^2 \frac{R_{\partial_\mu x} R_{\partial_\nu \bar{x}} - R_{\partial_\nu x} R_{\partial_\mu \bar{x}}}{(\lambda^2 + |x - b|^2)^2} dx^\mu \wedge dx^\nu, \\ G_{\lambda,b} &= \lambda^2 \frac{L_{\partial_\mu x} L_{\partial_\nu \bar{x}} - L_{\partial_\nu x} L_{\partial_\mu \bar{x}}}{(\lambda^2 + |x - b|^2)^2} dx^\mu \wedge dx^\nu. \end{aligned}$$

Let $x = x_0 + \mathbf{x}$ and $\bar{x} = x_0 - \mathbf{x}$. Then, by (4.1) and (4.1), we get that $F_{\lambda,b} - G_{\lambda,b} = R_{\lambda,b} + L_{\lambda,b}$, where

$$\begin{aligned} R_{\lambda,b} &= \frac{-2\lambda^2 R_{e_i}}{(\lambda^2 + |x - b|^2)^2} (dx^0 \wedge x^i + c_{ijk} dx^j \wedge dx^k), \\ L_{\lambda,b} &= \frac{-2\lambda^2 L_{e_i}}{(\lambda^2 + |x - b|^2)^2} (dx^0 \wedge x^i - c_{ijk} dx^j \wedge dx^k). \end{aligned}$$

The self-dual of $R_{\lambda,b}$ and anty-self-dual of $L_{\lambda,b}$ are obvious. To conclude the proof, it remains to note that the map f, g translates $\mathbf{S}^7$ in itself and are the symmetries $x \rightarrow R_{\bar{x}}, x \rightarrow L_{\bar{x}}$ on $\mathbf{S}^7$.

References

- [1] A.A. Belavin, A.S. Polyakov, A.S. Schwarz, and Yu.S. Tyupkin, Phys. Lett. B59 (1975) 85.
- [2] E. Cremmer, B. Julia, and J. Scherk, Phys. Lett. B76 (1978) 409; E. Witten, Nucl. Phys. B186 (1981) 412.
- [3] F. Englert, Phys. Lett. B119 (1982) 339; R.D'Auria, P. Fre, and P. Van Nieuwenhuizen, Phys. Lett. B122 (1983) 22; F. Gursev and C.-H. Tze, Phys. Lett. B127 (1983) 22.
- [4] E. Corrigan, C. Devchand, D.B. Fairlie and J. Nuyts, Nucl. Phys. B 214 (1983) 452.
- [5] B. Crossman, T.W. Kephart, J.D. Stasheff, Comm. Math. Phys. 96 (1984) 431; D.B. Fairlie, J. Nuyts, J. Phys. A17 (1984) 2867; S. Fubini, H. Nicolai, Phys. Lett. B155 (1985) 369; A.H. Bilge, T. Dereli, S. Kocak, J. Math. Phys. 38 (1997) 4804; E.G. Floratos and A. Kehagias, Phys. Lett. B427 (1998) 283.
- [6] T.A. Ivanova, Phys. Lett. B315 (1993) 277; M. Gunaydin, H. Nicolai, Phys. Lett. B351 (1995) 169; E.G. Floratos, G.K. Leontaris, A.P. Polychronakos, and R. Tzani, Phys. Lett. B421 (1998) 125.
- [7] J.A. Harvey, A. Strominger, Phys. Rev. Lett. 66 (1991) 549; E.G. Floratos and G.K. Leontaris, Nucl. Phys. B512 (1998) 445.
- [8] B.S. Acharya, M. O'Loughlin, B. Spence, Nucl. Phys. B503 (1997) 657; L. Baulieu, H. Kanno, I.M. Singer, Commun. Math. Phys. 194 (1998) 149.
- [9] S.K. Donaldson, Topology 29 (1990) 257; E. Witten, Commun. Math. Phys. 117 (1988) 353.
- [10] T. Curtright, D.B. Fairlie, C.K. Zachos, Phys. Lett. B405 (1997) 37; J.M. Figueroa-O'Farrill, C. Kohl, B. Spence, Nucl. Phys. B521 (1998) 419; C.M. Hull, Adv. Theor. Math. Phys. 2 (1998) 619.
- [11] R. Dunderer, F. Gursev, and C.-H. Tze, J. Math. Phys. 25 (1984) 1496.
- [12] J.R. Faulkner and J.C. Far, Bull. London Math. Soc. 9 (1977) 1.

- [13] J. Lohmus, E. Paal, and L. Sorgsepp, Nonassociative Algebras in Physics (Hadronic Press, Inc., Palm Harbor, 1994).
- [14] R.H. Bruck, A Survey of Binary System (Berlin-Heidelberg-New York:Springer-Verlag, 1971).
- [15] R.D. Schafer, An Introduction to Non-Associative Algebras (Academic, New York, 1966).
- [16] K.A. Zhevlakov, A.M. Slinko, I.P. Shestakov, and A.I. Shirsov, Rings that are nearly associative (Academic Press, New York, 1982).
- [17] A.A. Sagle, Trans. Am. Math. Soc. 101 (1961) 426.
- [18] A.A Sagle, Pacif. J. Math. 12 (1962) 1057; V.T. Filippov, Algebra i Logika (Russian) 15 (1976) 235.
- [19] E.N. Kuzmin Algebra i Logika (Russian) 7 (1968) 48.
- [20] A. Elduque, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 107 (1989) 73.
- [21] A.I. Malcev, Math. Sb. (Russian) 36 (1955) 569; E.N. Kuzmin, Algebra i Logika (Russian) 10 (1971) 3.
- [22] F.S. Kerdman, Algebra i Logika (Russian) 18 (1979) 523.
- [23] S. Eilenberg, Ann. Soc. Polon. Mat. **21** (1948) 125; N. Jacobson, Structure and Representations of Jordan Algebras (Providence, R. I., 1968).
- [24] R.D. Schafer, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 72 (1952) 1; K. McCrimmon, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 18 (1966) 480.
- [25] A. Elduque, Comm. Algebra 18 (1990) 1551.
- [26] E.K. Loginov, Comm. Algebra 21 (1993) 2527.